

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/500,449	02/09/2000	Katsuyuki Taima	325772015100	2633	
25227 7	590 03/30/2004	•	EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			VU, THANH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300			AKI ONI	TATER NOMBER	
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		2174	18	
•			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	n No. Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/500,449	TAIMA, KATSUYUKI			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh T. Vu	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (* condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the same of this application and the same of the same	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the index of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	1		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment	t		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	stine Vincaid			
	KF	RISTINE KINCAID ORY PATENT EXAMINER			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's primary argument is that in Kusmierczyk "there is no screen (like the one shown in Fig. 9A of the application) displayed in which a user a user is prompted to select a display language". The examiner does not agree because Kusmierczyk's reference reads on the claim language of means for displaying a first screen for selecting a display language on the display unit (Fig. 2A; col. 2, lines 58-61; The examiner considers the screen 2A as a screen for selecting a display language because the user can select a display language from this screen by selecting the function key F3 see col. 2, lines 58-67).

In addition, the applicant also points out that Kusmierczyk does not teach a dedicated key switch provided outside of the display unit, wherein the display language selection screen is directly displayed on the display unit when the dedicated key switch is operated, the dedicated key switch being used only for displaying the display language selecting screen on the display unit. The examiner does not agree because Kusmierczyk teaches a dedicated key switch provided outside of the display unit, wherein the display language selection screen is directly displayed on the display unit when the dedicated key switch is operated, the dedicated key switch being used only for displaying the display language selecting screen on the display unit (Figs 2A and 2B; col. 2, lines 58-67; function key F3 on keyboard of Fig. 1; The examiner considers only Fig. 2A and 2B in which the dedicated key F3 is used to display language selection screen of either Fig. 2A or 2B).